

Dual spaces of operator systems

Chi-Keung Ng

The Chern Institute of Mathematics
Nankai University
Tianjin, China

June 10, 2021

Outline

- 1 Aims and motivations
- 2 MOS and quasi-operator systems
- 3 Duality for operator systems
- 4 Biduals of operator systems

- A “unital operator system” is a self-adjoint subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ containing the **identity**, equipped with the induced **matrix cone**.

Theorem

(Choi-Effros) Let E be a finite dimensional unital operator system. For the dual space E^ , when equipped with the **dual matrix cone**, one can find an **order unit**, so that E^* be identified with a unital operator system.*

- Recent application to graph systems and dual graph systems in quantum information theory.
- There is some interests in infinite dimensional operator systems in quantum information theory.
- **Aim:** To find an infinite dimensional analogue for the result of Choi-Effros.

- A “unital operator system” is a self-adjoint subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ containing the **identity**, equipped with the induced **matrix cone**.

Theorem

(Choi-Effros) Let E be a finite dimensional unital operator system. For the dual space E^ , when equipped with the **dual matrix cone**, one can find an **order unit**, so that E^* be identified with a unital operator system.*

- Recent application to graph systems and dual graph systems in quantum information theory.
- There is some interests in infinite dimensional operator systems in quantum information theory.
- **Aim:** To find an infinite dimensional analogue for the result of Choi-Effros.

- A “unital operator system” is a self-adjoint subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ containing the **identity**, equipped with the induced **matrix cone**.

Theorem

(Choi-Effros) Let E be a finite dimensional unital operator system. For the dual space E^ , when equipped with the **dual matrix cone**, one can find an **order unit**, so that E^* be identified with a unital operator system.*

- Recent application to graph systems and dual graph systems in quantum information theory.
 - There is some interests in infinite dimensional operator systems in quantum information theory.
 - **Aim:** To find an infinite dimensional analogue for the result of Choi-Effros.

- A “unital operator system” is a self-adjoint subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ containing the **identity**, equipped with the induced **matrix cone**.

Theorem

(Choi-Effros) Let E be a finite dimensional unital operator system. For the dual space E^ , when equipped with the **dual matrix cone**, one can find an **order unit**, so that E^* be identified with a unital operator system.*

- Recent application to graph systems and dual graph systems in quantum information theory.
- There is some interests in infinite dimensional operator systems in quantum information theory.
- **Aim:** To find an infinite dimensional analogue for the result of Choi-Effros.

- A “unital operator system” is a self-adjoint subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ containing the **identity**, equipped with the induced **matrix cone**.

Theorem

(Choi-Effros) Let E be a finite dimensional unital operator system. For the dual space E^ , when equipped with the **dual matrix cone**, one can find an **order unit**, so that E^* be identified with a unital operator system.*

- Recent application to graph systems and dual graph systems in quantum information theory.
- There is some interests in infinite dimensional operator systems in quantum information theory.
- **Aim**: To find an infinite dimensional analogue for the result of Choi-Effros.

- Some issues:

1. The dual cone of the dual space of a unital operator system needs not admits an order unit: e.g., the dual space of c (the C^* -algebra of all convergence sequences) is ℓ^1 , and the dual cone (which is the set of all positive summable sequences) does not has an order unit.

⇒ Need to consider “general operator systems”; i.e. **self-adjoint subspaces of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$** , equipped with the **induced matrix cones** and the **induced matrix norms**.

2. The dual space of a unital operator system needs not be an operator system under the dual matrix cone and the dual matrix norm.

⇒ **Question 1**: Is it possible to find an “**equivalent**” **matrix norm** on the dual space turning it (together with the dual matrix norm) into an operator system.

- Some issues:

1. The dual cone of the dual space of a unital operator system needs not admits an order unit: e.g., the dual space of c (the C^* -algebra of all convergence sequences) is ℓ^1 , and the dual cone (which is the set of all positive summable sequences) does not has an order unit.

⇒ Need to consider “general operator systems”; i.e. **self-adjoint subspaces of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$** , equipped with the **induced matrix cones** and the **induced matrix norms**.

2. The dual space of a unital operator system needs not be an operator system under the dual matrix cone and the dual matrix norm.

⇒ **Question 1**: Is it possible to find an “**equivalent**” **matrix norm** on the dual space turning it (together with the dual matrix norm) into an operator system.

- Some issues:

1. The dual cone of the dual space of a unital operator system needs not admits an order unit: e.g., the dual space of c (the C^* -algebra of all convergence sequences) is ℓ^1 , and the dual cone (which is the set of all positive summable sequences) does not has an order unit.

⇒ Need to consider “general operator systems”; i.e. **self-adjoint subspaces of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$** , equipped with the **induced matrix cones** and the **induced matrix norms**.

2. The dual space of a unital operator system needs not be an operator system under the dual matrix cone and the dual matrix norm.

⇒ **Question 1**: Is it possible to find an “**equivalent**” **matrix norm** on the dual space turning it (together with the dual matrix norm) into an operator system.

- Some issues:

1. The dual cone of the dual space of a unital operator system needs not admits an order unit: e.g., the dual space of c (the C^* -algebra of all convergence sequences) is ℓ^1 , and the dual cone (which is the set of all positive summable sequences) does not has an order unit.

⇒ Need to consider “general operator systems”; i.e. **self-adjoint subspaces of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$** , equipped with the **induced matrix cones** and the **induced matrix norms**.

2. The dual space of a unital operator system needs not be an operator system under the dual matrix cone and the dual matrix norm.

⇒ **Question 1**: Is it possible to find an “equivalent” matrix norm on the dual space turning it (together with the dual matrix norm) into an operator system.

- Some issues:

1. The dual cone of the dual space of a unital operator system needs not admits an order unit: e.g., the dual space of c (the C^* -algebra of all convergence sequences) is ℓ^1 , and the dual cone (which is the set of all positive summable sequences) does not has an order unit.

⇒ Need to consider “general operator systems”; i.e. **self-adjoint subspaces of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$** , equipped with the **induced matrix cones** and the **induced matrix norms**.

2. The dual space of a unital operator system needs not be an operator system under the dual matrix cone and the dual matrix norm.

⇒ **Question 1**: Is it possible to find an “equivalent” matrix norm on the dual space turning it (together with the dual matrix norm) into an operator system.

- Some issues:

1. The dual cone of the dual space of a unital operator system needs not admits an order unit: e.g., the dual space of c (the C^* -algebra of all convergence sequences) is ℓ^1 , and the dual cone (which is the set of all positive summable sequences) does not has an order unit.

⇒ Need to consider “general operator systems”; i.e. **self-adjoint subspaces of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$** , equipped with the **induced matrix cones** and the **induced matrix norms**.

2. The dual space of a unital operator system needs not be an operator system under the dual matrix cone and the dual matrix norm.

⇒ **Question 1**: Is it possible to find an “**equivalent**” **matrix norm** on the dual space turning it (together with the dual matrix norm) into an operator system.

- Operator system tensor products: Kavruk-Paulsen-Todorov-Tomforde (unital case); Li-Ng (non-unital case).

- If one wants to study duality for operator system tensor products, then one wants to ask

Question 1': Is there a canonical / universal way to find equivalent matrix norm on the dual space of an operator system T , under which the dual space becomes an operator system?

- If Q1' has a positive answer, we denoted the “dual operator system” by T^d . A natural question is

Question 2: If T^d exists, does $(T^d)^d$ exist?

- If Q2 has a positive answer, there is one more question.

- It is not hard to see that the usual bidual T^{**} of T is also an operator system in the canonical way. An other question is

Question 3: If Q2 has a positive answer, is the canonical map from T^{**} to $(T^d)^d$ preserves the operator system structures?

- Operator system tensor products: Kavruk-Paulsen-Todorov-Tomforde (unital case); Li-Ng (non-unital case).
- If one wants to study duality for operator system tensor products, then one wants to ask

Question 1': Is there a canonical / universal way to find equivalent matrix norm on the dual space of an operator system T , under which the dual space becomes an operator system?

- If Q1' has a positive answer, we denoted the “dual operator system” by T^d . A natural question is

Question 2: If T^d exists, does $(T^d)^d$ exist?

- If Q2 has a positive answer, there is one more question.
- It is not hard to see that the usual bidual T^{**} of T is also an operator system in the canonical way. An other question is

Question 3: If Q2 has a positive answer, is the canonical map from T^{**} to $(T^d)^d$ preserves the operator system structures?

- Operator system tensor products: Kavruk-Paulsen-Todorov-Tomforde (unital case); Li-Ng (non-unital case).

- If one wants to study duality for operator system tensor products, then one wants to ask

Question 1': Is there a canonical / universal to find equivalent matrix norm on the dual space of an operator system T , under which the dual space becomes an operator system?

- If Q1' has a positive answer, we denoted the “dual operator system” by T^d . A natural question is

Question 2: If T^d exists, does $(T^d)^d$ exists?

- If Q2 has a positive answer, there is one more question.

- It is not hard to see that the usual bidual T^{**} of T is also an operator system in the canonical way. An other question is

Question 3: If Q2 has a positive answer, is the canonical map from T^{**} to $(T^d)^d$ preserves the operator system structures?

- Operator system tensor products: Kavruk-Paulsen-Todorov-Tomforde (unital case); Li-Ng (non-unital case).

- If one wants to study duality for operator system tensor products, then one wants to ask

Question 1': Is there a canonical / universal to find equivalent matrix norm on the dual space of an operator system T , under which the dual space becomes an operator system?

- If Q1' has a positive answer, we denoted the “dual operator system” by T^d . A natural question is

Question 2: If T^d exists, does $(T^d)^d$ exists?

- If Q2 has a positive answer, there is one more question.

- It is not hard to see that the usual bidual T^{**} of T is also an operator system in the canonical way. An other question is

Question 3: If Q2 has a positive answer, is the canonical map from T^{**} to $(T^d)^d$ preserves the operator system structures?

- Operator system tensor products: Kavruk-Paulsen-Todorov-Tomforde (unital case); Li-Ng (non-unital case).

- If one wants to study duality for operator system tensor products, then one wants to ask

Question 1': Is there a canonical / universal to find equivalent matrix norm on the dual space of an operator system T , under which the dual space becomes an operator system?

- If Q1' has a positive answer, we denoted the “dual operator system” by T^d . A natural question is

Question 2: If T^d exists, does $(T^d)^d$ exists?

- If Q2 has a positive answer, there is one more question.

- It is not hard to see that the usual bidual T^{**} of T is also an operator system in the canonical way. An other question is

Question 3: If Q2 has a positive answer, is the canonical map from T^{**} to $(T^d)^d$ preserves the operator system structures?

- Operator system tensor products: Kavruk-Paulsen-Todorov-Tomforde (unital case); Li-Ng (non-unital case).

- If one wants to study duality for operator system tensor products, then one wants to ask

Question 1': Is there a canonical / universal to find equivalent matrix norm on the dual space of an operator system T , under which the dual space becomes an operator system?

- If Q1' has a positive answer, we denoted the “dual operator system” by T^d . A natural question is

Question 2: If T^d exists, does $(T^d)^d$ exists?

- If Q2 has a positive answer, there is one more question.

- It is not hard to see that the usual bidual T^{**} of T is also an operator system in the canonical way. An other question is

Question 3: If Q2 has a positive answer, is the canonical map from T^{**} to $(T^d)^d$ preserves the operator system structures?

- Operator system tensor products: Kavruk-Paulsen-Todorov-Tomforde (unital case); Li-Ng (non-unital case).

- If one wants to study duality for operator system tensor products, then one wants to ask

Question 1': Is there a canonical / universal to find equivalent matrix norm on the dual space of an operator system T , under which the dual space becomes an operator system?

- If Q1' has a positive answer, we denoted the “dual operator system” by T^d . A natural question is

Question 2: If T^d exists, does $(T^d)^d$ exists?

- If Q2 has a positive answer, there is one more question.

- It is not hard to see that the usual bidual T^{**} of T is also an operator system in the canonical way. An other question is

Question 3: If Q2 has a positive answer, is the canonical map from T^{**} to $(T^d)^d$ preserves the operator system structures?

- Operator system tensor products: Kavruk-Paulsen-Todorov-Tomforde (unital case); Li-Ng (non-unital case).

- If one wants to study duality for operator system tensor products, then one wants to ask

Question 1': Is there a canonical / universal to find equivalent matrix norm on the dual space of an operator system T , under which the dual space becomes an operator system?

- If Q1' has a positive answer, we denoted the “dual operator system” by T^d . A natural question is

Question 2: If T^d exists, does $(T^d)^d$ exists?

- If Q2 has a positive answer, there is one more question.

- It is not hard to see that the usual bidual T^{**} of T is also an operator system in the canonical way. An other question is

Question 3: If Q2 has a positive answer, is the canonical map from T^{**} to $(T^d)^d$ preserves the operator system structures?

Theorem

Let T be an oper. sys.

(a) \exists an equivalent matrix norm on T^* turning it into a dual oper. sys. \Leftrightarrow the “matrix ordered matrix normed space” T satisfies a form of “bounded decomposition property”.

In this case,

$\|f\|^d := \sup \{ \|[f_{kl}(x_{ij})]_{i,j,k,l}\| : x \in M_n(T)_+; \|x\| \leq 1; n \in \mathbb{N} \}$
is the largest equivalent matrix norm dominated by the dual matrix norm on T^* that turns T^* into a dual oper. sys. We denote the resulting oper. sys. $(T^*, \|\cdot\|^d)$ by T^d .

(b) If T^* can be turned into a dual oper. sys. under an equivalent matrix norm, then so is $(T^d)^*$.

Theorem

Let T be an oper. sys.

(a) \exists an equivalent matrix norm on T^* turning it into a dual oper. sys. \Leftrightarrow the “matrix ordered matrix normed space” T satisfies a form of “bounded decomposition property”.

In this case,

$\|f\|^d := \sup \{ \|[f_{kl}(x_{ij})]_{i,j,k,l}\| : x \in M_n(T)_+; \|x\| \leq 1; n \in \mathbb{N} \}$
 is the largest equivalent matrix norm dominated by the dual matrix norm on T^* that turns T^* into a dual oper. sys. We denote the resulting oper. sys. $(T^*, \|\cdot\|^d)$ by T^d .

(b) If T^* can be turned into a dual oper. sys. under an equivalent matrix norm, then so is $(T^d)^*$.

Theorem

Let T be an oper. sys.

(a) \exists an equivalent matrix norm on T^* turning it into a dual oper. sys. \Leftrightarrow the “**matrix ordered matrix normed space**” T satisfies a form of “**bounded decomposition property**”.

In this case,

$\|f\|^d := \sup \{ \|[f_{kl}(x_{ij})]_{i,j,k,l}\| : x \in M_n(T)_+; \|x\| \leq 1; n \in \mathbb{N} \}$
 is the **largest equivalent matrix norm** dominated by the dual matrix norm on T^* that turns T^* into a dual oper. sys. We denote the resulting oper. sys. $(T^*, \|\cdot\|^d)$ by T^d .

(b) If T^* can be turned into a dual oper. sys. under an equivalent matrix norm, then so is $(T^d)^*$.

Theorem

Let T be an oper. sys.

(a) \exists an equivalent matrix norm on T^* turning it into a dual oper. sys. \Leftrightarrow the “**matrix ordered matrix normed space**” T satisfies a form of “**bounded decomposition property**”.

In this case,

$\|f\|^d := \sup \{ \|[f_{kl}(x_{ij})]_{i,j,k,l}\| : x \in M_n(T)_+; \|x\| \leq 1; n \in \mathbb{N} \}$
 is the **largest equivalent matrix norm** dominated by the dual matrix norm on T^* that turns T^* into a dual oper. sys. We denote the resulting oper. sys. $(T^*, \|\cdot\|^d)$ by T^d .

(b) If T^* can be turned into a dual oper. sys. under an equivalent matrix norm, then so is $(T^d)^*$.

Theorem

Let T be an oper. sys.

(a) \exists an equivalent matrix norm on T^* turning it into a dual oper. sys. \Leftrightarrow the “**matrix ordered matrix normed space**” T satisfies a form of “**bounded decomposition property**”.

In this case,

$\|f\|^d := \sup \{ \|[f_{kl}(x_{ij})]_{i,j,k,l}\| : x \in M_n(T)_+; \|x\| \leq 1; n \in \mathbb{N} \}$
 is the **largest equivalent matrix norm** dominated by the dual matrix norm on T^* that turns T^* into a dual oper. sys. We denote the resulting oper. sys. $(T^*, \|\cdot\|^d)$ by T^d .

(b) If T^* can be turned into a dual oper. sys. under an equivalent matrix norm, then so is $(T^d)^*$.

Theorem

Let T be an oper. sys.

(a) \exists an equivalent matrix norm on T^* turning it into a dual oper. sys. \Leftrightarrow the “**matrix ordered matrix normed space**” T satisfies a form of “**bounded decomposition property**”.

In this case,

$\|f\|^d := \sup \{ \|[f_{kl}(x_{ij})]_{i,j,k,l}\| : x \in M_n(T)_+; \|x\| \leq 1; n \in \mathbb{N} \}$
 is the **largest equivalent matrix norm** dominated by the dual matrix norm on T^* that turns T^* into a dual oper. sys. We denote the resulting oper. sys. $(T^*, \|\cdot\|^d)$ by T^d .

(b) If T^* can be turned into a dual oper. sys. under an equivalent matrix norm, then so is $(T^d)^*$.

Theorem

Suppose that T is a C^ -algebra or a unital operator system.*

(a) \exists an equivalent matrix norm on T^ turning it into a dual oper. sys.*

*(b) The canonical bijection from T^{**} onto $(T^d)^d$ is a complete isometry.*

Theorem

Suppose that T is a C^ -algebra or a unital operator system.*

(a) \exists an equivalent matrix norm on T^ turning it into a dual oper. sys.*

*(b) The canonical bijection from T^{**} onto $(T^d)^d$ is a complete isometry.*

Theorem

Suppose that T is a C^ -algebra or a unital operator system.*

(a) \exists an equivalent matrix norm on T^ turning it into a dual oper. sys.*

*(b) The canonical bijection from T^{**} onto $(T^d)^d$ is a complete isometry.*

- According to Weaver, a unital oper. sys. $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ is called a **graph system** if it is closed under the weak-* -topology.

Corollary

Let $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ be a graph sys. and

$$T_{\#} := \{\omega|_T : \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})_*\} \subseteq T^*.$$

Then $T_{\#}$ admits a largest matrix norm $\|\cdot\|_{\#}$ that is domin. by and equiv. to the dual matrix norm $\|\cdot\|^*$ such that $T_{\#}$ becomes an oper. sys. under $\|\cdot\|_{\#}$.

- The resulting operator system in the above can be regarded as a **predual graph system**.

- According to Weaver, a unital oper. sys. $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ is called a **graph system** if it is closed under the weak-* -topology.

Corollary

Let $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ be a graph sys. and

$$T_{\#} := \{\omega|_T : \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})_*\} \subseteq T^*.$$

Then $T_{\#}$ admits a largest matrix norm $\|\cdot\|_{\#}$ that is domin. by and equiv. to the dual matrix norm $\|\cdot\|^*$ such that $T_{\#}$ becomes an oper. sys. under $\|\cdot\|_{\#}$.

- The resulting operator system in the above can be regarded as a **predual graph system**.

- According to Weaver, a unital oper. sys. $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ is called a **graph system** if it is closed under the weak-* -topology.

Corollary

Let $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ be a graph sys. and

$$T_{\#} := \{\omega|_T : \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})_*\} \subseteq T^*.$$

Then $T_{\#}$ admits a largest matrix norm $\|\cdot\|_{\#}$ that is domin. by and equiv. to the dual matrix norm $\|\cdot\|^*$ such that $T_{\#}$ becomes an oper. sys. under $\|\cdot\|_{\#}$.

- The resulting operator system in the above can be regarded as a **predual graph system**.

- According to Weaver, a unital oper. sys. $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ is called a **graph system** if it is closed under the weak-* -topology.

Corollary

Let $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ be a graph sys. and

$$T_{\#} := \{\omega|_T : \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})_*\} \subseteq T^*.$$

Then $T_{\#}$ admits a largest matrix norm $\|\cdot\|_{\#}$ that is domin. by and equiv. to the dual matrix norm $\|\cdot\|^*$ such that $T_{\#}$ becomes an oper. sys. under $\|\cdot\|_{\#}$.

- The resulting operator system in the above can be regarded as a **predual graph system**.

- According to Weaver, a unital oper. sys. $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ is called a **graph system** if it is closed under the weak-* -topology.

Corollary

Let $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ be a graph sys. and

$$T_{\#} := \{\omega|_T : \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})_*\} \subseteq T^*.$$

Then $T_{\#}$ admits a largest matrix norm $\|\cdot\|_{\#}$ that is domin. by and equiv. to the dual matrix norm $\|\cdot\|^*$ such that $T_{\#}$ becomes an oper. sys. under $\|\cdot\|_{\#}$.

- The resulting operator system in the above can be regarded as a **predual graph system**.

- X vector space. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see $M_n(X) \subseteq M_{n+1}(X)$. Denote $M_\infty(X) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n(X)$.

- $M_\infty(X)$ is a bimodule over $M_\infty := M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$.

- Y another vector space. For linear map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, define

$$\varphi^{(\infty)}([x_{ij}]_{i,j}) := [\varphi(x_{ij})]_{i,j} \quad ([x_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(X))$$

and $\varphi^{(n)} := \varphi^{(\infty)}|_{M_n(X)}$

- X is an **operator space** if \exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_\infty(X)$ (called the **matrix norm**) s.t. $\forall x_i \in M_\infty(X)$ and $a_i, b_i \in M_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^* x_k b_k \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_k a_k^* a_k \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_k b_k^* b_k \right\|^{1/2} \max_k \|x_k\|.$$

- $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is s.t.b. **completely bounded** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded.

Moreover, φ is called a

++ **complete isometry** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is isometric;

++ **complete contraction** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is contractive;

++ **complete embedding** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded and bounded below.

- X vector space. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see $M_n(X) \subseteq M_{n+1}(X)$. Denote $M_\infty(X) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n(X)$.

- $M_\infty(X)$ is a bimodule over $M_\infty := M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$.

- Y another vector space. For linear map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, define

$$\varphi^{(\infty)}([x_{ij}]_{i,j}) := [\varphi(x_{ij})]_{i,j} \quad ([x_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(X))$$

and $\varphi^{(n)} := \varphi^{(\infty)}|_{M_n(X)}$

- X is an **operator space** if \exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_\infty(X)$ (called the **matrix norm**) s.t. $\forall x_i \in M_\infty(X)$ and $a_i, b_i \in M_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^* x_k b_k \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_k a_k^* a_k \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_k b_k^* b_k \right\|^{1/2} \max_k \|x_k\|.$$

- $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is s.t.b. **completely bounded** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded.

Moreover, φ is called a

++ **complete isometry** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is isometric;

++ **complete contraction** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is contractive;

++ **complete embedding** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded and bounded below.

- X vector space. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see $M_n(X) \subseteq M_{n+1}(X)$. Denote $M_\infty(X) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n(X)$.

- $M_\infty(X)$ is a bimodule over $M_\infty := M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$.

- Y another vector space. For linear map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, define

$$\varphi^{(\infty)}([x_{ij}]_{i,j}) := [\varphi(x_{ij})]_{i,j} \quad ([x_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(X))$$

and $\varphi^{(n)} := \varphi^{(\infty)}|_{M_n(X)}$

- X is an **operator space** if \exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_\infty(X)$ (called the **matrix norm**) s.t. $\forall x_i \in M_\infty(X)$ and $a_i, b_i \in M_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^* x_k b_k \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_k a_k^* a_k \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_k b_k^* b_k \right\|^{1/2} \max_k \|x_k\|.$$

- $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is s.t.b. **completely bounded** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded.

Moreover, φ is called a

++ **complete isometry** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is isometric;

++ **complete contraction** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is contractive;

++ **complete embedding** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded and bounded below.

- X vector space. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see $M_n(X) \subseteq M_{n+1}(X)$. Denote $M_\infty(X) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n(X)$.

- $M_\infty(X)$ is a bimodule over $M_\infty := M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$.

- Y another vector space. For linear map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, define

$$\varphi^{(\infty)}([x_{ij}]_{i,j}) := [\varphi(x_{ij})]_{i,j} \quad ([x_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(X))$$

and $\varphi^{(n)} := \varphi^{(\infty)}|_{M_n(X)}$

- X is an **operator space** if \exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_\infty(X)$ (called the **matrix norm**) s.t. $\forall x_i \in M_\infty(X)$ and $a_i, b_i \in M_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^* x_k b_k \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_k a_k^* a_k \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_k b_k^* b_k \right\|^{1/2} \max_k \|x_k\|.$$

- $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is s.t.b. **completely bounded** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded.

Moreover, φ is called a

++ **complete isometry** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is isometric;

++ **complete contraction** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is contractive;

++ **complete embedding** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded and bounded below.

- X vector space. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see $M_n(X) \subseteq M_{n+1}(X)$. Denote $M_\infty(X) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n(X)$.

- $M_\infty(X)$ is a bimodule over $M_\infty := M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$.

- Y another vector space. For linear map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, define

$$\varphi^{(\infty)}([x_{ij}]_{i,j}) := [\varphi(x_{ij})]_{i,j} \quad ([x_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(X))$$

and $\varphi^{(n)} := \varphi^{(\infty)}|_{M_n(X)}$

- X is an **operator space** if \exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_\infty(X)$ (called the **matrix norm**) s.t. $\forall x_i \in M_\infty(X)$ and $a_i, b_i \in M_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^* x_k b_k \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_k a_k^* a_k \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_k b_k^* b_k \right\|^{1/2} \max_k \|x_k\|.$$

- $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is s.t.b. **completely bounded** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded.

Moreover, φ is called a

++ **complete isometry** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is isometric;

++ **complete contraction** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is contractive;

++ **complete embedding** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded and bounded below.

- X vector space. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see $M_n(X) \subseteq M_{n+1}(X)$. Denote $M_\infty(X) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n(X)$.

- $M_\infty(X)$ is a bimodule over $M_\infty := M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$.

- Y another vector space. For linear map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, define

$$\varphi^{(\infty)}([x_{ij}]_{i,j}) := [\varphi(x_{ij})]_{i,j} \quad ([x_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(X))$$

and $\varphi^{(n)} := \varphi^{(\infty)}|_{M_n(X)}$

- X is an **operator space** if \exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_\infty(X)$ (called the **matrix norm**) s.t. $\forall x_i \in M_\infty(X)$ and $a_i, b_i \in M_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^* x_k b_k \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_k a_k^* a_k \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_k b_k^* b_k \right\|^{1/2} \max_k \|x_k\|.$$

- $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is s.t.b. **completely bounded** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded.

Moreover, φ is called a

++ **complete isometry** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is isometric;

++ **complete contraction** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is contractive;

++ **complete embedding** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded and bounded below.

- X vector space. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see $M_n(X) \subseteq M_{n+1}(X)$. Denote $M_\infty(X) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n(X)$.

- $M_\infty(X)$ is a bimodule over $M_\infty := M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$.

- Y another vector space. For linear map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, define

$$\varphi^{(\infty)}([x_{ij}]_{i,j}) := [\varphi(x_{ij})]_{i,j} \quad ([x_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(X))$$

and $\varphi^{(n)} := \varphi^{(\infty)}|_{M_n(X)}$

- X is an **operator space** if \exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_\infty(X)$ (called the **matrix norm**) s.t. $\forall x_i \in M_\infty(X)$ and $a_i, b_i \in M_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^* x_k b_k \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_k a_k^* a_k \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_k b_k^* b_k \right\|^{1/2} \max_k \|x_k\|.$$

- $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is s.t.b. **completely bounded** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded.

Moreover, φ is called a

++ **complete isometry** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is isometric;

++ **complete contraction** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is contractive;

++ **complete embedding** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded and bounded below.

- X vector space. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see $M_n(X) \subseteq M_{n+1}(X)$. Denote $M_\infty(X) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n(X)$.

- $M_\infty(X)$ is a bimodule over $M_\infty := M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$.

- Y another vector space. For linear map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, define

$$\varphi^{(\infty)}([x_{ij}]_{i,j}) := [\varphi(x_{ij})]_{i,j} \quad ([x_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(X))$$

and $\varphi^{(n)} := \varphi^{(\infty)}|_{M_n(X)}$

- X is an **operator space** if \exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_\infty(X)$ (called the **matrix norm**) s.t. $\forall x_i \in M_\infty(X)$ and $a_i, b_i \in M_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$

$$\|\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^* x_k b_k\| \leq \|\sum_k a_k^* a_k\|^{1/2} \|\sum_k b_k^* b_k\|^{1/2} \max_k \|x_k\|.$$

- $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is s.t.b. **completely bounded** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded.

Moreover, φ is called a

++ **complete isometry** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is isometric;

++ **complete contraction** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is contractive;

++ **complete embedding** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded and bounded below.

- X vector space. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see $M_n(X) \subseteq M_{n+1}(X)$. Denote $M_\infty(X) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n(X)$.

- $M_\infty(X)$ is a bimodule over $M_\infty := M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$.

- Y another vector space. For linear map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, define

$$\varphi^{(\infty)}([x_{ij}]_{i,j}) := [\varphi(x_{ij})]_{i,j} \quad ([x_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(X))$$

and $\varphi^{(n)} := \varphi^{(\infty)}|_{M_n(X)}$

- X is an **operator space** if \exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_\infty(X)$ (called the **matrix norm**) s.t. $\forall x_i \in M_\infty(X)$ and $a_i, b_i \in M_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^* x_k b_k \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_k a_k^* a_k \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_k b_k^* b_k \right\|^{1/2} \max_k \|x_k\|.$$

- $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is s.t.b. **completely bounded** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded.

Moreover, φ is called a

++ **complete isometry** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is isometric;

++ **complete contraction** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is contractive;

++ **complete embedding** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded and bounded below.

- X vector space. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see $M_n(X) \subseteq M_{n+1}(X)$. Denote $M_\infty(X) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n(X)$.

- $M_\infty(X)$ is a bimodule over $M_\infty := M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$.

- Y another vector space. For linear map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, define

$$\varphi^{(\infty)}([x_{ij}]_{i,j}) := [\varphi(x_{ij})]_{i,j} \quad ([x_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(X))$$

and $\varphi^{(n)} := \varphi^{(\infty)}|_{M_n(X)}$

- X is an **operator space** if \exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_\infty(X)$ (called the **matrix norm**) s.t. $\forall x_i \in M_\infty(X)$ and $a_i, b_i \in M_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^* x_k b_k \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_k a_k^* a_k \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_k b_k^* b_k \right\|^{1/2} \max_k \|x_k\|.$$

- $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is s.t.b. **completely bounded** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded.

Moreover, φ is called a

++ **complete isometry** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is isometric;

++ **complete contraction** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is contractive;

++ **complete embedding** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded and bounded below.

- X vector space. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see $M_n(X) \subseteq M_{n+1}(X)$. Denote $M_\infty(X) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n(X)$.

- $M_\infty(X)$ is a bimodule over $M_\infty := M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$.

- Y another vector space. For linear map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, define

$$\varphi^{(\infty)}([x_{ij}]_{i,j}) := [\varphi(x_{ij})]_{i,j} \quad ([x_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(X))$$

and $\varphi^{(n)} := \varphi^{(\infty)}|_{M_n(X)}$

- X is an **operator space** if \exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_\infty(X)$ (called the **matrix norm**) s.t. $\forall x_i \in M_\infty(X)$ and $a_i, b_i \in M_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^* x_k b_k \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_k a_k^* a_k \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_k b_k^* b_k \right\|^{1/2} \max_k \|x_k\|.$$

- $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is s.t.b. **completely bounded** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded.

Moreover, φ is called a

++ **complete isometry** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is isometric;

++ **complete contraction** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is contractive;

++ **complete embedding** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded and bounded below.

- X vector space. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see $M_n(X) \subseteq M_{n+1}(X)$. Denote $M_\infty(X) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n(X)$.

- $M_\infty(X)$ is a bimodule over $M_\infty := M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$.

- Y another vector space. For linear map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, define

$$\varphi^{(\infty)}([x_{ij}]_{i,j}) := [\varphi(x_{ij})]_{i,j} \quad ([x_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(X))$$

and $\varphi^{(n)} := \varphi^{(\infty)}|_{M_n(X)}$

- X is an **operator space** if \exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_\infty(X)$ (called the **matrix norm**) s.t. $\forall x_i \in M_\infty(X)$ and $a_i, b_i \in M_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^* x_k b_k \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_k a_k^* a_k \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_k b_k^* b_k \right\|^{1/2} \max_k \|x_k\|.$$

- $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is s.t.b. **completely bounded** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded.

Moreover, φ is called a

++ **complete isometry** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is isometric;

++ **complete contraction** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is contractive;

++ **complete embedding** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded and bounded below.

- X vector space. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see $M_n(X) \subseteq M_{n+1}(X)$. Denote $M_\infty(X) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n(X)$.

- $M_\infty(X)$ is a bimodule over $M_\infty := M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$.

- Y another vector space. For linear map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, define

$$\varphi^{(\infty)}([x_{ij}]_{i,j}) := [\varphi(x_{ij})]_{i,j} \quad ([x_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(X))$$

and $\varphi^{(n)} := \varphi^{(\infty)}|_{M_n(X)}$

- X is an **operator space** if \exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_\infty(X)$ (called the **matrix norm**) s.t. $\forall x_i \in M_\infty(X)$ and $a_i, b_i \in M_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^* x_k b_k \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_k a_k^* a_k \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_k b_k^* b_k \right\|^{1/2} \max_k \|x_k\|.$$

- $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is s.t.b. **completely bounded** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded.

Moreover, φ is called a

++ **complete isometry** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is isometric;

++ **complete contraction** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is contractive;

++ **complete embedding** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}$ is bounded and bounded below.

- An operator space X is called a **semi-matrix ordered operator space (SMOS)** if \exists involution $*$: $X \rightarrow X$ with the induced map $*$: $M_\infty(X) \rightarrow M_\infty(X)$ **isometric** and a subset (called **matrix cone**) $M_\infty(X)^+ \subseteq M_\infty(X)^{\text{sa}} := \{x \in M_\infty(X) : x^* = x\}$ s.t.

$$\sum_k a_k^* x_k a_k \in M_\infty(X)^+ \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n \in M_\infty(X)^+; a_1, \dots, a_n \in M_\infty)$$
- A SMOS X is a **matrix ordered operator space (MOS)** when $M_\infty(X)^+ \cap -M_\infty(X)^+ = \{0\}$.
- X, Y are SMOS. $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$ ($x \in X$) is s.t.b
 - ++ **completely positive** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}(M_\infty(X)^+) \subseteq M_\infty(Y)^+$;
 - ++ **completely order monomorphic** if φ is injective and $(\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X)^+) = M_\infty(Y)^+ \cap (\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X))$.
- A MOS X is an **operator system** if and only if \exists a **compl. order monomorphic compl. isometry** from X to some $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$.

- An operator space X is called a **semi-matrix ordered operator space (SMOS)** if \exists involution $*$: $X \rightarrow X$ with the induced map $*$: $M_\infty(X) \rightarrow M_\infty(X)$ **isometric** and a subset (called **matrix cone**) $M_\infty(X)^+ \subseteq M_\infty(X)^{sa} := \{x \in M_\infty(X) : x^* = x\}$ s.t.

$$\sum_k a_k^* x_k a_k \in M_\infty(X)^+ \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n \in M_\infty(X)^+; a_1, \dots, a_n \in M_\infty)$$
- A SMOS X is a **matrix ordered operator space (MOS)** when $M_\infty(X)^+ \cap -M_\infty(X)^+ = \{0\}$.
- X, Y are SMOS. $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$ ($x \in X$) is s.t.b
 - ++ **completely positive** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}(M_\infty(X)^+) \subseteq M_\infty(Y)^+$;
 - ++ **completely order monomorphic** if φ is injective and $(\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X)^+) = M_\infty(Y)^+ \cap (\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X))$.
- A MOS X is an **operator system** if and only if \exists a **compl. order monomorphic compl. isometry** from X to some $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$.

- An operator space X is called a **semi-matrix ordered operator space (SMOS)** if \exists involution $*$: $X \rightarrow X$ with the induced map $*$: $M_\infty(X) \rightarrow M_\infty(X)$ **isometric** and a subset (called **matrix cone**) $M_\infty(X)^+ \subseteq M_\infty(X)^{sa} := \{x \in M_\infty(X) : x^* = x\}$ s.t.

$$\sum_k a_k^* x_k a_k \in M_\infty(X)^+ \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n \in M_\infty(X)^+; a_1, \dots, a_n \in M_\infty).$$
- A SMOS X is a **matrix ordered operator space (MOS)** when $M_\infty(X)^+ \cap -M_\infty(X)^+ = \{0\}$.
- X, Y are SMOS. $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$ ($x \in X$) is s.t.b
 ++ **completely positive** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}(M_\infty(X)^+) \subseteq M_\infty(Y)^+$;
 ++ **completely order monomorphic** if φ is injective and

$$(\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X)^+) = M_\infty(Y)^+ \cap (\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X)).$$
- A MOS X is an **operator system** if and only if \exists a **compl. order monomorphic compl. isometry** from X to some $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$.

- An operator space X is called a **semi-matrix ordered operator space (SMOS)** if \exists involution $*$: $X \rightarrow X$ with the induced map $*$: $M_\infty(X) \rightarrow M_\infty(X)$ **isometric** and a subset (called **matrix cone**) $M_\infty(X)^+ \subseteq M_\infty(X)^{\text{sa}} := \{x \in M_\infty(X) : x^* = x\}$ s.t.

$$\sum_k a_k^* x_k a_k \in M_\infty(X)^+ \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n \in M_\infty(X)^+; a_1, \dots, a_n \in M_\infty).$$
- A SMOS X is a **matrix ordered operator space (MOS)** when $M_\infty(X)^+ \cap -M_\infty(X)^+ = \{0\}$.
- X, Y are SMOS. $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$ ($x \in X$) is s.t.b
 ++ **completely positive** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}(M_\infty(X)^+) \subseteq M_\infty(Y)^+$;
 ++ **completely order monomorphic** if φ is injective and

$$(\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X)^+) = M_\infty(Y)^+ \cap (\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X)).$$
- A MOS X is an **operator system** if and only if \exists a **compl. order monomorphic compl. isometry** from X to some $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$.

- An operator space X is called a **semi-matrix ordered operator space (SMOS)** if \exists involution $*$: $X \rightarrow X$ with the induced map $*$: $M_\infty(X) \rightarrow M_\infty(X)$ **isometric** and a subset (called **matrix cone**) $M_\infty(X)^+ \subseteq M_\infty(X)^{\text{sa}} := \{x \in M_\infty(X) : x^* = x\}$ s.t.

$$\sum_k a_k^* x_k a_k \in M_\infty(X)^+ \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n \in M_\infty(X)^+; a_1, \dots, a_n \in M_\infty).$$
- A SMOS X is a **matrix ordered operator space (MOS)** when $M_\infty(X)^+ \cap -M_\infty(X)^+ = \{0\}$.
- X, Y are SMOS. $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$ ($x \in X$) is s.t.b
 - ++ **completely positive** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}(M_\infty(X)^+) \subseteq M_\infty(Y)^+$;
 - ++ **completely order monomorphic** if φ is injective and $(\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X)^+) = M_\infty(Y)^+ \cap (\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X))$.
- A MOS X is an **operator system** if and only if \exists a **compl. order monomorphic compl. isometry** from X to some $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$.

- An operator space X is called a **semi-matrix ordered operator space (SMOS)** if \exists involution $*$: $X \rightarrow X$ with the induced map $*$: $M_\infty(X) \rightarrow M_\infty(X)$ **isometric** and a subset (called **matrix cone**) $M_\infty(X)^+ \subseteq M_\infty(X)^{\text{sa}} := \{x \in M_\infty(X) : x^* = x\}$ s.t.

$$\sum_k a_k^* x_k a_k \in M_\infty(X)^+ \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n \in M_\infty(X)^+; a_1, \dots, a_n \in M_\infty)$$
- A SMOS X is a **matrix ordered operator space (MOS)** when $M_\infty(X)^+ \cap -M_\infty(X)^+ = \{0\}$.
- X, Y are SMOS. $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$ ($x \in X$) is s.t.b
 - ++ **completely positive** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}(M_\infty(X)^+) \subseteq M_\infty(Y)^+$;
 - ++ **completely order monomorphic** if φ is injective and $(\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X)^+) = M_\infty(Y)^+ \cap (\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X))$.
- A MOS X is an **operator system** if and only if \exists a **compl. order monomorphic compl. isometry** from X to some $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$.

- An operator space X is called a **semi-matrix ordered operator space (SMOS)** if \exists involution $*$: $X \rightarrow X$ with the induced map $*$: $M_\infty(X) \rightarrow M_\infty(X)$ **isometric** and a subset (called **matrix cone**) $M_\infty(X)^+ \subseteq M_\infty(X)^{\text{sa}} := \{x \in M_\infty(X) : x^* = x\}$ s.t.

$$\sum_k a_k^* x_k a_k \in M_\infty(X)^+ \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n \in M_\infty(X)^+; a_1, \dots, a_n \in M_\infty)$$
- A SMOS X is a **matrix ordered operator space (MOS)** when $M_\infty(X)^+ \cap -M_\infty(X)^+ = \{0\}$.
- X, Y are SMOS. $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$ ($x \in X$) is s.t.b
 - ++ **completely positive** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}(M_\infty(X)^+) \subseteq M_\infty(Y)^+$;
 - ++ **completely order monomorphic** if φ is injective and $(\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X)^+) = M_\infty(Y)^+ \cap (\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X))$.
- A MOS X is an **operator system** if and only if \exists a **compl. order monomorphic compl. isometry** from X to some $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$.

- An operator space X is called a **semi-matrix ordered operator space (SMOS)** if \exists involution $*$: $X \rightarrow X$ with the induced map $*$: $M_\infty(X) \rightarrow M_\infty(X)$ **isometric** and a subset (called **matrix cone**) $M_\infty(X)^+ \subseteq M_\infty(X)^{\text{sa}} := \{x \in M_\infty(X) : x^* = x\}$ s.t.

$$\sum_k a_k^* x_k a_k \in M_\infty(X)^+ \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n \in M_\infty(X)^+; a_1, \dots, a_n \in M_\infty).$$
- A SMOS X is a **matrix ordered operator space (MOS)** when $M_\infty(X)^+ \cap -M_\infty(X)^+ = \{0\}$.
- X, Y are SMOS. $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$ ($x \in X$) is s.t.b
 - ++ **completely positive** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}(M_\infty(X)^+) \subseteq M_\infty(Y)^+$;
 - ++ **completely order monomorphic** if φ is injective and $(\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X)^+) = M_\infty(Y)^+ \cap (\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X))$.
- A MOS X is an **operator system** if and only if \exists a **compl. order monomorphic compl. isometry** from X to some $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$.

- An operator space X is called a **semi-matrix ordered operator space (SMOS)** if \exists involution $*$: $X \rightarrow X$ with the induced map $*$: $M_\infty(X) \rightarrow M_\infty(X)$ **isometric** and a subset (called **matrix cone**) $M_\infty(X)^+ \subseteq M_\infty(X)^{\text{sa}} := \{x \in M_\infty(X) : x^* = x\}$ s.t.

$$\sum_k a_k^* x_k a_k \in M_\infty(X)^+ \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n \in M_\infty(X)^+; a_1, \dots, a_n \in M_\infty).$$
- A SMOS X is a **matrix ordered operator space (MOS)** when $M_\infty(X)^+ \cap -M_\infty(X)^+ = \{0\}$.
- X, Y are SMOS. $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$ ($x \in X$) is s.t.b
 ++ **completely positive** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}(M_\infty(X)^+) \subseteq M_\infty(Y)^+$;
 ++ **completely order monomorphic** if φ is injective and

$$(\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X)^+) = M_\infty(Y)^+ \cap (\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X)).$$
- A MOS X is an **operator system** if and only if \exists a **compl. order monomorphic compl. isometry** from X to some $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$.

- An operator space X is called a **semi-matrix ordered operator space (SMOS)** if \exists involution $*$: $X \rightarrow X$ with the induced map $*$: $M_\infty(X) \rightarrow M_\infty(X)$ **isometric** and a subset (called **matrix cone**) $M_\infty(X)^+ \subseteq M_\infty(X)^{\text{sa}} := \{x \in M_\infty(X) : x^* = x\}$ s.t.

$$\sum_k a_k^* x_k a_k \in M_\infty(X)^+ \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n \in M_\infty(X)^+; a_1, \dots, a_n \in M_\infty).$$
- A SMOS X is a **matrix ordered operator space (MOS)** when $M_\infty(X)^+ \cap -M_\infty(X)^+ = \{0\}$.
- X, Y are SMOS. $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$ ($x \in X$) is s.t.b
 - ++ **completely positive** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}(M_\infty(X)^+) \subseteq M_\infty(Y)^+$;
 - ++ **completely order monomorphic** if φ is injective and $(\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X)^+) = M_\infty(Y)^+ \cap (\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X))$.
- A MOS X is an **operator system** if and only if \exists a **compl. order monomorphic compl. isometry** from X to some $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$.

- An operator space X is called a **semi-matrix ordered operator space (SMOS)** if \exists involution $*$: $X \rightarrow X$ with the induced map $*$: $M_\infty(X) \rightarrow M_\infty(X)$ **isometric** and a subset (called **matrix cone**) $M_\infty(X)^+ \subseteq M_\infty(X)^{\text{sa}} := \{x \in M_\infty(X) : x^* = x\}$ s.t.

$$\sum_k a_k^* x_k a_k \in M_\infty(X)^+ \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n \in M_\infty(X)^+; a_1, \dots, a_n \in M_\infty).$$
- A SMOS X is a **matrix ordered operator space (MOS)** when $M_\infty(X)^+ \cap -M_\infty(X)^+ = \{0\}$.
- X, Y are SMOS. $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$ ($x \in X$) is s.t.b
 - ++ **completely positive** if $\varphi^{(\infty)}(M_\infty(X)^+) \subseteq M_\infty(Y)^+$;
 - ++ **completely order monomorphic** if φ is injective and $(\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X)^+) = M_\infty(Y)^+ \cap (\varphi^{(\infty)})(M_\infty(X))$.
- A MOS X is an **operator system** if and only if \exists a **compl. order monomorphic compl. isometry** from X to some $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$.

- For a normed space E , we set $B_E := \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq 1\}$.

- If $f = [f_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(E^*)$, we define $\theta_f \in \mathcal{B}(E; M_\infty)$ by

$$\theta_f(x) := [f_{ij}(x)]_{i,j} \quad (x \in E).$$

Then $\theta : M_n(E^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E; M_n)$ is bij.

- Similarly, \exists (not nec. surj.) a map $\theta : M_\infty(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E^*; M_\infty)$ given by $\theta_x(f) := [f(x_{ij})]$, for every $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_\infty(E)$.

- X a SMOS. For $f \in M_\infty(X^*)$, we set $(f^*)_{ij} := (f_{ji})^*$ and

$$\|f\| = \sup \{ \|\theta_f^{(\infty)}(x)\| : x \in B_{M_\infty(X)} \}.$$

Denote $M_\infty(X^*)^+ := \{f \in M_\infty(X^*) : \theta_f \text{ is comp. pos.}\}$.

Then X^* becomes a SMOS.

Proposition

Let X be a SMOS. Then X^ is a MOS \Leftrightarrow the linear span of $M_\infty(X)^+$ is norm-dense in $M_\infty(X)$.*

- For a normed space E , we set $B_E := \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq 1\}$.
- If $f = [f_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(E^*)$, we define $\theta_f \in \mathcal{B}(E; M_\infty)$ by

$$\theta_f(x) := [f_{ij}(x)]_{i,j} \quad (x \in E).$$

Then $\theta : M_n(E^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E; M_n)$ is bij.

- Similarly, \exists (not nec. surj.) a map $\theta : M_\infty(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E^*; M_\infty)$ given by $\theta_x(f) := [f(x_{ij})]$, for every $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_\infty(E)$.

- X a SMOS. For $f \in M_\infty(X^*)$, we set $(f^*)_{ij} := (f_{ji})^*$ and

$$\|f\| = \sup \{ \|\theta_f^{(\infty)}(x)\| : x \in B_{M_\infty(X)} \}.$$

Denote $M_\infty(X^*)^+ := \{f \in M_\infty(X^*) : \theta_f \text{ is comp. pos.}\}$.

Then X^* becomes a SMOS.

Proposition

Let X be a SMOS. Then X^ is a MOS \Leftrightarrow the linear span of $M_\infty(X)^+$ is norm-dense in $M_\infty(X)$.*

- For a normed space E , we set $B_E := \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq 1\}$.
- If $f = [f_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(E^*)$, we define $\theta_f \in \mathcal{B}(E; M_\infty)$ by

$$\theta_f(x) := [f_{ij}(x)]_{i,j} \quad (x \in E).$$

Then $\theta : M_n(E^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E; M_n)$ is bij.

- Similarly, \exists (not nec. surj.) a map $\theta : M_\infty(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E^*; M_\infty)$ given by $\theta_x(f) := [f(x_{ij})]$, for every $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_\infty(E)$.
 - X a SMOS. For $f \in M_\infty(X^*)$, we set $(f^*)_{ij} := (f_{ji})^*$ and

$$\|f\| = \sup \{ \|\theta_f^{(\infty)}(x)\| : x \in B_{M_\infty(X)} \}.$$
- Denote $M_\infty(X^*)^+ := \{f \in M_\infty(X^*) : \theta_f \text{ is comp. pos.}\}.$

Then X^* becomes a SMOS.

Proposition

Let X be a SMOS. Then X^ is a MOS \Leftrightarrow the linear span of $M_\infty(X)^+$ is norm-dense in $M_\infty(X)$.*

- For a normed space E , we set $B_E := \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq 1\}$.
- If $f = [f_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(E^*)$, we define $\theta_f \in \mathcal{B}(E; M_\infty)$ by

$$\theta_f(x) := [f_{ij}(x)]_{i,j} \quad (x \in E).$$

Then $\theta : M_n(E^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E; M_n)$ is bij.

- Similarly, \exists (not nec. surj.) a map $\theta : M_\infty(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E^*; M_\infty)$ given by $\theta_x(f) := [f(x_{ij})]$, for every $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_\infty(E)$.

- X a SMOS. For $f \in M_\infty(X^*)$, we set $(f^*)_{ij} := (f_{ji})^*$ and

$$\|f\| = \sup \{ \|\theta_f^{(\infty)}(x)\| : x \in B_{M_\infty(X)} \}.$$

Denote $M_\infty(X^*)^+ := \{f \in M_\infty(X^*) : \theta_f \text{ is comp. pos.}\}$.

Then X^* becomes a SMOS.

Proposition

Let X be a SMOS. Then X^ is a MOS \Leftrightarrow the linear span of $M_\infty(X)^+$ is norm-dense in $M_\infty(X)$.*

- For a normed space E , we set $B_E := \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq 1\}$.

- If $f = [f_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(E^*)$, we define $\theta_f \in \mathcal{B}(E; M_\infty)$ by

$$\theta_f(x) := [f_{ij}(x)]_{i,j} \quad (x \in E).$$

Then $\theta : M_n(E^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E; M_n)$ is bij.

- Similarly, \exists (not nec. surj.) a map $\theta : M_\infty(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E^*; M_\infty)$ given by $\theta_x(f) := [f(x_{ij})]$, for every $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_\infty(E)$.

- X a SMOS. For $f \in M_\infty(X^*)$, we set $(f^*)_{ij} := (f_{ji})^*$ and

$$\|f\| = \sup \{ \|\theta_f^{(\infty)}(x)\| : x \in B_{M_\infty(X)} \}.$$

Denote $M_\infty(X^*)^+ := \{f \in M_\infty(X^*) : \theta_f \text{ is comp. pos.}\}$.

Then X^* becomes a SMOS.

Proposition

Let X be a SMOS. Then X^ is a MOS \Leftrightarrow the linear span of $M_\infty(X)^+$ is norm-dense in $M_\infty(X)$.*

- For a normed space E , we set $B_E := \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq 1\}$.

- If $f = [f_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(E^*)$, we define $\theta_f \in \mathcal{B}(E; M_\infty)$ by

$$\theta_f(x) := [f_{ij}(x)]_{i,j} \quad (x \in E).$$

Then $\theta : M_n(E^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E; M_n)$ is bij.

- Similarly, \exists (not nec. surj.) a map $\theta : M_\infty(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E^*; M_\infty)$ given by $\theta_x(f) := [f(x_{ij})]$, for every $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_\infty(E)$.

- X a SMOS. For $f \in M_\infty(X^*)$, we set $(f^*)_{ij} := (f_{ji})^*$ and

$$\|f\| = \sup \{ \|\theta_f^{(\infty)}(x)\| : x \in B_{M_\infty(X)} \}.$$

Denote $M_\infty(X^*)^+ := \{f \in M_\infty(X^*) : \theta_f \text{ is comp. pos.}\}$.

Then X^* becomes a SMOS.

Proposition

Let X be a SMOS. Then X^ is a MOS \Leftrightarrow the linear span of $M_\infty(X)^+$ is norm-dense in $M_\infty(X)$.*

- For a normed space E , we set $B_E := \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq 1\}$.
- If $f = [f_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_\infty(E^*)$, we define $\theta_f \in \mathcal{B}(E; M_\infty)$ by

$$\theta_f(x) := [f_{ij}(x)]_{i,j} \quad (x \in E).$$

Then $\theta : M_n(E^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E; M_n)$ is bij.

- Similarly, \exists (not nec. surj.) a map $\theta : M_\infty(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E^*; M_\infty)$ given by $\theta_x(f) := [f(x_{ij})]$, for every $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_\infty(E)$.
- X a SMOS. For $f \in M_\infty(X^*)$, we set $(f^*)_{ij} := (f_{ji})^*$ and

$$\|f\| = \sup \{ \|\theta_f^{(\infty)}(x)\| : x \in B_{M_\infty(X)} \}.$$
 Denote $M_\infty(X^*)^+ := \{f \in M_\infty(X^*) : \theta_f \text{ is comp. pos.}\}$.
 Then X^* becomes a SMOS.

Proposition

Let X be a SMOS. Then X^ is a MOS \Leftrightarrow the linear span of $M_\infty(X)^+$ is norm-dense in $M_\infty(X)$.*

- A **dual operator system** is a weak- $*$ -closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ equipped with the induced structure (“non-unital graph system”).
- If X is a SMOS, then X^* is called a **dual quasi-operator system** if \exists a **compl. order monom. compl. embed.** and $\Gamma : X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ s.t. $\Gamma : X^* \rightarrow \Gamma(X^*)$ is a **weak- $*$ -homeom.**

- A **dual operator system** is a weak-^{*}-closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ equipped with the induced structure (“non-unital graph system”).
- If X is a SMOS, then X^* is called a **dual quasi-operator system** if \exists a **compl. order monom. compl. embed.** and $\Gamma : X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ s.t. $\Gamma : X^* \rightarrow \Gamma(X^*)$ is a **weak-^{*}-homeom.**

- A **dual operator system** is a weak- $*$ -closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ equipped with the induced structure (“non-unital graph system”).
- If X is a SMOS, then X^* is called a **dual quasi-operator system** if \exists a **compl. order monom. compl. embed.** and $\Gamma : X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ s.t. $\Gamma : X^* \rightarrow \Gamma(X^*)$ is a **weak- $*$ -homeom.**

- A **dual operator system** is a weak- $*$ -closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ equipped with the induced structure (“non-unital graph system”).
- If X is a SMOS, then X^* is called a **dual quasi-operator system** if \exists a **compl. order monomor. compl. embed.** and $\Gamma : X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ s.t. $\Gamma : X^* \rightarrow \Gamma(X^*)$ is a **weak- $*$ -homeom.**

- A **dual operator system** is a weak- $*$ -closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ equipped with the induced structure (“non-unital graph system”).
- If X is a SMOS, then X^* is called a **dual quasi-operator system** if \exists a **compl. order monom. compl. embed.** and $\Gamma : X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ s.t. $\Gamma : X^* \rightarrow \Gamma(X^*)$ is a **weak- $*$ -homeom.**

- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $\mathcal{WQ}_n^{X^*}$ all $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -cont. compl. pos. compl. contract. from X^* to M_n .

- Consider the von Neumann algebra

$$N(X^*) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\ell^\infty} \ell^\infty(\mathcal{WQ}_n^{X^*}; M_n).$$

- Define $\mu_{X^*} : X^* \rightarrow N(X^*)$ by

$$\mu_{X^*}(f) := (\mu_{X^*}(f)_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (f \in X^*),$$

where $\mu_{X^*}(f)_n(\varphi) := \varphi(f)$ ($\varphi \in \mathcal{WQ}_n^{X^*}; n \in \mathbb{N}$).

- Equip the subspace $\widetilde{X^*} := \overline{\mu_{X^*}(X^*)}^{\sigma(N(X^*), N(X^*)_*)}$ with the dual operator system structure induced from $N(X^*)$.

- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $\mathcal{WQ}_n^{X^*}$ all $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -cont. compl. pos. compl. contract. from X^* to M_n .

- Consider the von Neumann algebra

$$N(X^*) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\ell^\infty} \ell^\infty(\mathcal{WQ}_n^{X^*}; M_n).$$

- Define $\mu_{X^*} : X^* \rightarrow N(X^*)$ by

$$\mu_{X^*}(f) := (\mu_{X^*}(f)_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (f \in X^*),$$

where $\mu_{X^*}(f)_n(\varphi) := \varphi(f)$ ($\varphi \in \mathcal{WQ}_n^{X^*}; n \in \mathbb{N}$).

- Equip the subspace $\widetilde{X^*} := \overline{\mu_{X^*}(X^*)}^{\sigma(N(X^*), N(X^*)_*)}$ with the dual operator system structure induced from $N(X^*)$.

- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $\mathcal{WQ}_n^{X^*}$ all $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -cont. compl. pos. compl. contract. from X^* to M_n .

- Consider the von Neumann algebra

$$N(X^*) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\ell^\infty} \ell^\infty(\mathcal{WQ}_n^{X^*}; M_n).$$

- Define $\mu_{X^*} : X^* \rightarrow N(X^*)$ by

$$\mu_{X^*}(f) := (\mu_{X^*}(f)_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (f \in X^*),$$

where $\mu_{X^*}(f)_n(\varphi) := \varphi(f)$ ($\varphi \in \mathcal{WQ}_n^{X^*}; n \in \mathbb{N}$).

- Equip the subspace $\widetilde{X^*} := \overline{\mu_{X^*}(X^*)}^{\sigma(N(X^*), N(X^*)_*)}$ with the dual operator system structure induced from $N(X^*)$.

- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $\mathcal{WQ}_n^{X^*}$ all $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -cont. compl. pos. compl. contract. from X^* to M_n .

- Consider the von Neumann algebra

$$N(X^*) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\ell^\infty} \ell^\infty(\mathcal{WQ}_n^{X^*}; M_n).$$

- Define $\mu_{X^*} : X^* \rightarrow N(X^*)$ by

$$\mu_{X^*}(f) := (\mu_{X^*}(f)_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (f \in X^*),$$

where $\mu_{X^*}(f)_n(\varphi) := \varphi(f)$ ($\varphi \in \mathcal{WQ}_n^{X^*}; n \in \mathbb{N}$).

- Equip the subspace $\widetilde{X^*} := \overline{\mu_{X^*}(X^*)}^{\sigma(N(X^*), N(X^*)_*)}$ with the dual operator system structure induced from $N(X^*)$.

- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $\mathcal{WQ}_n^{X^*}$ all $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -cont. compl. pos. compl. contract. from X^* to M_n .

- Consider the von Neumann algebra

$$N(X^*) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\ell^\infty} \ell^\infty(\mathcal{WQ}_n^{X^*}; M_n).$$

- Define $\mu_{X^*} : X^* \rightarrow N(X^*)$ by

$$\mu_{X^*}(f) := (\mu_{X^*}(f)_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (f \in X^*),$$

where $\mu_{X^*}(f)_n(\varphi) := \varphi(f)$ ($\varphi \in \mathcal{WQ}_n^{X^*}; n \in \mathbb{N}$).

- Equip the subspace $\widetilde{X^*} := \overline{\mu_{X^*}(X^*)}^{\sigma(N(X^*), N(X^*)^*)}$ with the dual operator system structure induced from $N(X^*)$.

Proposition

(a) μ_{X^*} is a *weak*-cont. compl. order monom. compl. contract.*

(b) The following are equivalent.

1. μ_{X^*} is a *compl. bounded below.*

2. X^* is a *dual quasi-oper. sys.*

3. \exists a *weak*-cont. compl. pos. compl. embed. from X^* to some $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$.*

(c) V a dual oper. sys. and $\Phi : X^* \rightarrow V$ is a *weak*-cont. compl. pos. compl. contract.* $\Rightarrow \exists$ a unique *weak*-cont. compl. pos. compl. contract.* $\bar{\Phi} : \widetilde{X^*} \rightarrow V$ with $\Phi = \bar{\Phi} \circ \mu_{X^*}$.

Proposition

(a) μ_{X^*} is a *weak*-cont. compl. order monom. compl. contract.*

(b) The following are equivalent.

1. μ_{X^*} is a *compl. bounded below.*

2. X^* is a *dual quasi-oper. sys.*

3. \exists a *weak*-cont. compl. pos. compl. embed. from X^* to some $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$.*

(c) V a dual oper. sys. and $\Phi : X^* \rightarrow V$ is a *weak*-cont. compl. pos. compl. contract.* $\Rightarrow \exists$ a unique *weak*-cont. compl. pos. compl. contract.* $\bar{\Phi} : \widetilde{X^*} \rightarrow V$ with $\Phi = \bar{\Phi} \circ \mu_{X^*}$.

Proposition

(a) μ_{X^*} is a *weak*-cont. compl. order monom. compl. contract.*

(b) The following are equivalent.

1. μ_{X^*} is a *compl. bounded below.*

2. X^* is a *dual quasi-oper. sys.*

3. \exists a *weak*-cont. compl. pos. compl. embed. from X^* to some $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$.*

(c) V a dual oper. sys. and $\Phi : X^* \rightarrow V$ is a *weak*-cont. compl. pos. compl. contract.* $\Rightarrow \exists$ a unique *weak*-cont. compl. pos. compl. contract.* $\bar{\Phi} : \widetilde{X^*} \rightarrow V$ with $\Phi = \bar{\Phi} \circ \mu_{X^*}$.

• \widetilde{X}^* is “universal dual oper. sys. cover” of X^* .

• For $f \in M_m(X^*)$, one has

$$\|\mu_{X^*}^{(m)}(f)\| = \sup \{ \|\theta_f^{(n)}(x)\| : x \in M_n(X)_+; \|x\| \leq 1; n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

Note that $\theta_f^{(n)}(x) = [f_{kl}(x_{ij})]_{i,j,k,l}$.

Corollary

T a dual quasi-oper. sys. (resp. dual oper. sys.). If \check{T} is the dual oper. sp. T equip with a smaller weak- -cl. matrix cone, then \check{T} is a dual quasi-oper. sys. (resp. dual oper. sys.).*

- \widetilde{X}^* is “universal dual oper. sys. cover” of X^* .
 - For $f \in M_m(X^*)$, one has

$$\|\mu_{X^*}^{(m)}(f)\| = \sup \{ \|\theta_f^{(n)}(x)\| : x \in M_n(X)_+; \|x\| \leq 1; n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$
- Note that $\theta_f^{(n)}(x) = [f_{kl}(x_{ij})]_{i,j,k,l}$.

Corollary

T a dual quasi-oper. sys. (resp. dual oper. sys.). If \check{T} is the dual oper. sp. T equip with a smaller weak- -cl. matrix cone, then \check{T} is a dual quasi-oper. sys. (resp. dual oper. sys.).*

- \widetilde{X}^* is “universal dual oper. sys. cover” of X^* .
- For $f \in M_m(X^*)$, one has
$$\|\mu_{X^*}^{(m)}(f)\| = \sup \{ \|\theta_f^{(n)}(x)\| : x \in M_n(X)_+; \|x\| \leq 1; n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$
Note that $\theta_f^{(n)}(x) = [f_{kl}(x_{ij})]_{i,j,k,l}$.

Corollary

T a dual quasi-oper. sys. (resp. dual oper. sys.). If \check{T} is the dual oper. sp. T equip with a smaller weak- -cl. matrix cone, then \check{T} is a dual quasi-oper. sys. (resp. dual oper. sys.).*

- \widetilde{X}^* is “universal dual oper. sys. cover” of X^* .
- For $f \in M_m(X^*)$, one has

$$\|\mu_{X^*}^{(m)}(f)\| = \sup \{ \|\theta_f^{(n)}(x)\| : x \in M_n(X)_+; \|x\| \leq 1; n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$
 Note that $\theta_f^{(n)}(x) = [f_{kl}(x_{ij})]_{i,j,k,l}$.

Corollary

T a dual quasi-oper. sys. (resp. dual oper. sys.). If \check{T} is the dual oper. sp. T equip with a smaller weak- $$ -cl. matrix cone, then \check{T} is a dual quasi-oper. sys. (resp. dual oper. sys.).*

- X is a SMOS. Let λ be a matrix norm on X that is **equivalent** to the original matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$. The dual matrix norm on X^* induced from λ will be denoted by λ^* .
- $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}}$ is the collection of all λ^* on X^* such that
 - 1). λ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$;
 - 2). $\|\cdot\| \leq \lambda$;
 - 3). (X^*, λ^*) is a dual oper. sys. under the dual matrix cone.
- $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if X^* is a dual quasi-operator system.

- X is a SMOS. Let λ be a matrix norm on X that is **equivalent** to the original matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$. The dual matrix norm on X^* induced from λ will be denoted by λ^* .
- $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}}$ is the collection of all λ^* on X^* such that
 - 1). λ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$;
 - 2). $\|\cdot\| \leq \lambda$;
 - 3). (X^*, λ^*) is a dual oper. sys. under the dual matrix cone.
- $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if X^* is a dual quasi-operator system.

- X is a SMOS. Let λ be a matrix norm on X that is **equivalent** to the original matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$. The dual matrix norm on X^* induced from λ will be denoted by λ^* .
- $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}}$ is the collection of all λ^* on X^* such that
 - 1). λ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$;
 - 2). $\|\cdot\| \leq \lambda$;
 - 3). (X^*, λ^*) is a dual oper. sys. under the dual matrix cone.
- $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if X^* is a dual quasi-operator system.

- X is a SMOS. Let λ be a matrix norm on X that is **equivalent** to the original matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$. The dual matrix norm on X^* induced from λ will be denoted by λ^* .
- $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}}$ is the collection of all λ^* on X^* such that
 - 1). λ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$;
 - 2). $\|\cdot\| \leq \lambda$;
 - 3). (X^*, λ^*) is a dual oper. sys. under the dual matrix cone.
- $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if X^* is a dual quasi-operator system.

- X is a SMOS. Let λ be a matrix norm on X that is **equivalent** to the original matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$. The dual matrix norm on X^* induced from λ will be denoted by λ^* .
- $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}}$ is the collection of all λ^* on X^* such that
 - 1). λ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$;
 - 2). $\|\cdot\| \leq \lambda$;
 - 3). (X^*, λ^*) is a dual oper. sys. under the dual matrix cone.
- $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if X^* is a dual quasi-operator system.

Theorem

Let X be a SMOS. Denote

$$U_X := \{u - v : u, v \in M_\infty(X)^+ \cap B_{M_\infty(X)}\}.$$

(a) The following statements are equivalent.

1. X^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.
2. U_X is a zero neighborhood of $(M_\infty(X)^{\text{sa}}, \|\cdot\|)$.
3. $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}} \neq \emptyset$.

(b) In the case when X^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.,

$\|f\|^d := \sup \{ \|[f_{kl}(x_{ij})]_{i,j,k,l}\| : x \in M_n(X)_+; \|x\| \leq 1; n \in \mathbb{N} \}$
is the largest element in $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}}$.

(c) If X is either a C^* -algebra or a unital operator system, then X^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.

- $X^d := (X^*, \|\cdot\|^d)$ coincides with $\mu_{X^*}(X^*)$.

Theorem

Let X be a SMOS. Denote

$$U_X := \{u - v : u, v \in M_\infty(X)^+ \cap B_{M_\infty(X)}\}.$$

(a) The following statements are equivalent.

1. X^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.
2. U_X is a zero neighborhood of $(M_\infty(X)^{\text{sa}}, \|\cdot\|)$.
3. $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}} \neq \emptyset$.

(b) In the case when X^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.,

$\|f\|^d := \sup \{ \|[f_{kl}(x_{ij})]_{i,j,k,l}\| : x \in M_n(X)_+; \|x\| \leq 1; n \in \mathbb{N} \}$
 is the largest element in $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}}$.

(c) If X is either a C^* -algebra or a unital operator system, then X^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.

- $X^d := (X^*, \|\cdot\|^d)$ coincides with $\mu_{X^*}(X^*)$.

Theorem

Let X be a SMOS. Denote

$$U_X := \{u - v : u, v \in M_\infty(X)^+ \cap B_{M_\infty(X)}\}.$$

(a) The following statements are equivalent.

1. X^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.
2. U_X is a zero neighborhood of $(M_\infty(X)^{\text{sa}}, \|\cdot\|)$.
3. $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}} \neq \emptyset$.

(b) In the case when X^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.,

$\|f\|^d := \sup \{ \|[f_{kl}(x_{ij})]_{i,j,k,l}\| : x \in M_n(X)_+; \|x\| \leq 1; n \in \mathbb{N} \}$
 is the largest element in $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}}$.

(c) If X is either a C^* -algebra or a unital operator system, then X^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.

- $X^d := (X^*, \|\cdot\|^d)$ coincides with $\mu_{X^*}(X^*)$.

Theorem

Let X be a SMOS. Denote

$$U_X := \{u - v : u, v \in M_\infty(X)^+ \cap B_{M_\infty(X)}\}.$$

(a) The following statements are equivalent.

1. X^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.
2. U_X is a zero neighborhood of $(M_\infty(X)^{\text{sa}}, \|\cdot\|)$.
3. $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}} \neq \emptyset$.

(b) In the case when X^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.,

$\|f\|^d := \sup \{ \|[f_{kl}(x_{ij})]_{i,j,k,l}\| : x \in M_n(X)_+; \|x\| \leq 1; n \in \mathbb{N} \}$
 is the largest element in $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}}$.

(c) If X is either a C^* -algebra or a unital operator system, then X^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.

- $X^d := (X^*, \|\cdot\|^d)$ coincides with $\mu_{X^*}(X^*)$.

Theorem

Let X be a SMOS. Denote

$$U_X := \{u - v : u, v \in M_\infty(X)^+ \cap B_{M_\infty(X)}\}.$$

(a) The following statements are equivalent.

1. X^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.
2. U_X is a zero neighborhood of $(M_\infty(X)^{\text{sa}}, \|\cdot\|)$.
3. $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}} \neq \emptyset$.

(b) In the case when X^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.,

$\|f\|^d := \sup \{ \|[f_{kl}(x_{ij})]_{i,j,k,l}\| : x \in M_n(X)_+; \|x\| \leq 1; n \in \mathbb{N} \}$
 is the largest element in $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{sys}}$.

(c) If X is either a C^* -algebra or a unital operator system, then X^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.

- $X^d := (X^*, \|\cdot\|^d)$ coincides with $\mu_{X^*}(X^*)$.

- The above give a description of when Q_1' has a positive answer.
- The following is the answer for Q_2 :

Proposition

If T is an operator system such that T^ is a dual quasi-oper. sys., then $(T^d)^*$ is a dual quasi-oper. sys.*

- The above give a description of when Q_1' has a positive answer.
- The following is the answer for Q2:

Proposition

If T is an operator system such that T^ is a dual quasi-oper. sys., then $(T^d)^*$ is a dual quasi-oper. sys.*

- The above give a description of when Q_1' has a positive answer.
- The following is the answer for Q_2 :

Proposition

If T is an operator system such that T^ is a dual quasi-oper. sys., then $(T^d)^*$ is a dual quasi-oper. sys.*

- Let T be a oper. sys. such that T^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.
 $\Rightarrow \mu_{T^*} : T^* \rightarrow T^d$ is a weak- $*$ -homeom. compl. order monom.
 compl. embedd.
- $(T^d)^*$ is a dual quasi-oper. sys. $\Rightarrow \mu_{(T^d)^*} : (T^d)^* \rightarrow (T^d)^d$ is a
 weak- $*$ -homeom. compl. order monom. compl. embedd.
- $\mu_{(T^d)^*} \circ (\mu_{T^*}^*)^{-1} : T^{**} \rightarrow (T^d)^d$ is a weak- $*$ -homeom. compl.
 order monom. compl. embedd.
- The following is an answer to Q3:

Theorem

Let T be a C^ -algebra or a unital operator system. Then
 $\mu_{(T^d)^*} \circ (\mu_{T^*}^*)^{-1}$ is a complete isometry.*

- Let T be a oper. sys. such that T^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.
 $\Rightarrow \mu_{T^*} : T^* \rightarrow T^{\text{d}}$ is a weak- $*$ -homeom. compl. order monom. compl. embedd.
- $(T^{\text{d}})^*$ is a dual quasi-oper. sys. $\Rightarrow \mu_{(T^{\text{d}})^*} : (T^{\text{d}})^* \rightarrow (T^{\text{d}})^{\text{d}}$ is a weak- $*$ -homeom. compl. order monom. compl. embedd.
- $\mu_{(T^{\text{d}})^*} \circ (\mu_{T^*}^*)^{-1} : T^{**} \rightarrow (T^{\text{d}})^{\text{d}}$ is a weak- $*$ -homeom. compl. order monom. compl. embedd.
- The following is an answer to Q3:

Theorem

Let T be a C^ -algebra or a unital operator system. Then $\mu_{(T^{\text{d}})^*} \circ (\mu_{T^*}^*)^{-1}$ is a complete isometry.*

- Let T be a oper. sys. such that T^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.
 $\Rightarrow \mu_{T^*} : T^* \rightarrow T^d$ is a weak- $*$ -homeom. compl. order monom. compl. embedd.
- $(T^d)^*$ is a dual quasi-oper. sys. $\Rightarrow \mu_{(T^d)^*} : (T^d)^* \rightarrow (T^d)^d$ is a weak- $*$ -homeom. compl. order monom. compl. embedd.
- $\mu_{(T^d)^*} \circ (\mu_{T^*}^*)^{-1} : T^{**} \rightarrow (T^d)^d$ is a weak- $*$ -homeom. compl. order monom. compl. embedd.
- The following is an answer to Q3:

Theorem

Let T be a C^ -algebra or a unital operator system. Then $\mu_{(T^d)^*} \circ (\mu_{T^*}^*)^{-1}$ is a complete isometry.*

- Let T be a oper. sys. such that T^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.
 $\Rightarrow \mu_{T^*} : T^* \rightarrow T^{\text{d}}$ is a weak- $*$ -homeom. compl. order monom. compl. embedd.
- $(T^{\text{d}})^*$ is a dual quasi-oper. sys. $\Rightarrow \mu_{(T^{\text{d}})^*} : (T^{\text{d}})^* \rightarrow (T^{\text{d}})^{\text{d}}$ is a weak- $*$ -homeom. compl. order monom. compl. embedd.
- $\mu_{(T^{\text{d}})^*} \circ (\mu_{T^*}^*)^{-1} : T^{**} \rightarrow (T^{\text{d}})^{\text{d}}$ is a weak- $*$ -homeom. compl. order monom. compl. embedd.
- The following is an answer to Q3:

Theorem

Let T be a C^ -algebra or a unital operator system. Then $\mu_{(T^{\text{d}})^*} \circ (\mu_{T^*}^*)^{-1}$ is a complete isometry.*

- Let T be a oper. sys. such that T^* is a dual quasi-oper. sys.
 $\Rightarrow \mu_{T^*} : T^* \rightarrow T^{\text{d}}$ is a weak- $*$ -homeom. compl. order monom.
 compl. embedd.
- $(T^{\text{d}})^*$ is a dual quasi-oper. sys. $\Rightarrow \mu_{(T^{\text{d}})^*} : (T^{\text{d}})^* \rightarrow (T^{\text{d}})^{\text{d}}$ is a
 weak- $*$ -homeom. compl. order monom. compl. embedd.
- $\mu_{(T^{\text{d}})^*} \circ (\mu_{T^*}^*)^{-1} : T^{**} \rightarrow (T^{\text{d}})^{\text{d}}$ is a weak- $*$ -homeom. compl.
 order monom. compl. embedd.
- The following is an answer to Q3:

Theorem

Let T be a C^ -algebra or a unital operator system. Then
 $\mu_{(T^{\text{d}})^*} \circ (\mu_{T^*}^*)^{-1}$ is a complete isometry.*

Reference:

C.K. Ng,
Dual spaces of operator systems,
preprint (arXiv:2105.11112).