

From almost-commutative to almost-associative geometries: Model Building.

Shane Farnsworth (with Latham Boyle)

October 17, 2013

Overview

1. Motivation revisited: Non-associative algebras
2. Framework revisited: The Basic tools
3. A family of non-associative Geometries
4. Where to go from here (crazy ideas section).

Motivation revisited: Non-associative algebras

General Alternative algebras

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b, c] &= -[a, c, b] = [c, a, b] \\ \rightarrow [a, a, b] &= [b, a, a] = [a, b, a] = 0 \\ \delta_{a,b} &= [L_a, L_b] + [L_a, R_b] + [R_a, R_b] \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

Example: The Octonions with automorphism group G_2

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \swarrow & \searrow \\ & SU_3 & SU_2 \times SU_2 \end{array}$$

The octonions have 8 basis elements, could we fit $\frac{1}{2}$ a generation of particles (6 quarks, 2 leptons)???

Motivation revisited: Non-associative algebras

Jordan Algebras

$$[a^2, b, a] = [a, b, a^2] = 0$$

$$\delta_{a,b} = [L_a, L_b] = [L_a, R_b] = [R_a, R_b] \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \quad (2)$$

Example 1: The Jordan Algebra of 10×10 real matrices with automorphism group SO_{10} .

Example 2: The Exceptional Jordan Algebra of 3×3 hermitian octonion matrices with automorphism group F_4 .

Motivation revisited: Non-associative algebras

Brown Algebra Example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & j \\ j' & a' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & s \\ s' & b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ab + \text{Tr}(j, s') & as + b'j + (j' \times s') \\ bj' + a's' + (j \times s) & a'b' + \text{Tr}(j', s) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a \times b = ab - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(a)b - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(b)a + \frac{1}{2} [\text{Tr}(a)\text{Tr}(b) - \text{Tr}(ab)]1$.

A very complicated class of algebras. **DON'T WORRY**, just remember that they have automorphisms of type E_6

$$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ SO_{10} \\ \downarrow \\ SU_2 \times SU_2 \times SU_4 \\ \downarrow \\ U_1 \times SU_2 \times SU_3 \end{array}$$

Motivation revisited: Non-associative algebras

LIE ALGEBRAS, ETC...

Framework revisited: The Basic tools

Keep most of the known and loved structure of NCG!

Just a few small changes

- ▶ The Hilbert space H now acts as a (non-associative) bimodule over the (non-associative) input algebra.
 - ▶ New Order Zero Condition(s)
 - ▶ New Order One Condition(s)
- ▶ New Fluctuations!
 - ▶ Inhomogeneous Fluctuation given by $[D, \delta]$
- ▶ New compatibility checks!
 - ▶ $[\gamma, \delta] = 0$
 - ▶ $[J, \delta] = 0$

Introducing a Family of Non-associative Geometries!

A simple first example

Take the yang mills Finite spectral triple as inspiration:

$$\{A, H, D, J, \gamma\} = \{M_n(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}), 0, (\cdot)^\dagger, \mathbb{I}\}. \quad (3)$$

Let's make the simplest model we can imagine:

$$\{A, H, D, J, \gamma\} = \{\mathbb{O}, \mathbb{O}, 0, (\cdot)^*, \mathbb{I}\}. \quad (4)$$

Does it work?

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma, \delta] &= [J, \delta] = 0\checkmark \\ [L_a, JL_a^* J^*] &= 0\checkmark \\ \text{Order one} &\checkmark \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Introducing a Family of Non-associative Geometries!

A simple first example

The almost-associative space:

$$A = C^\infty(M, \mathbb{O}), \quad H = \mathcal{L}^2(M, S) \otimes \mathbb{O}$$

$$D = -i\gamma_c^\mu \nabla_\mu^S \otimes \mathbb{I}, \quad J = J_c \otimes (\cdot)^*$$

$$\gamma = \gamma_5 \otimes \mathbb{I}_F$$

Fluctuations:

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= [D, \delta_{a,b}] \\ &= -i\gamma_c^\mu ([L_{\partial_\mu a}, L_b] + [L_{\partial_\mu a}, R_b] + [R_{\partial_\mu a}, R_b] - (a \leftrightarrow b)) \\ &= -i\gamma_c^\mu [(\partial_\mu a)^i b^j - (\partial_\mu b)^i a^j] \delta_{e_i, e_j} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

By inspection:

$$\begin{aligned} B &= -i\gamma_c^\mu A_\mu^\alpha \delta_\alpha \rightarrow D_A = -i\gamma_c^\mu (\nabla_\mu^S + A_\mu^\alpha \delta_\alpha) \\ A_\mu^\alpha &= \sum_{a,b} (a[\partial_\mu, b])^\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Introducing a Family of Non-associative Geometries!

Generalizing the Yang-mills example.

Problem! We want $\{D, \gamma\} = 0$, and $D_F \neq 0$.

Simplest solution! increase the size of the hilbert space.

Operator	Constraints
$\pi(a) = pa$	$\pi(ab) = \pi(a)\pi(b) \rightarrow p = p^2$ $\pi(a^*) = \pi(a)^* \rightarrow p = \bar{p} = p^\dagger$
$D = \gamma_F^\alpha \delta_\alpha$	$D = D^\dagger \rightarrow \gamma_F^\alpha = -(\gamma_F^\alpha)^T$ $\{D, \gamma\} = 0 \rightarrow \{\gamma_F^\alpha, \gamma\} = 0$ $[D, L_a] = D(a)p \rightarrow [\gamma_F^\alpha, p] = 0$
$J = j \circ (.)^*$	$\langle J^\dagger a b \rangle = \langle a Jb \rangle, J^2 = \epsilon \rightarrow j^T = \epsilon j$ $DJ = \epsilon' JD \rightarrow j\gamma_F^\alpha = \epsilon' \gamma_F^\alpha j$ $J\gamma = \epsilon'' \gamma J \rightarrow j\gamma = \epsilon'' \gamma j$ $[L_a, R_b] = [a, b] \rightarrow [p, jpj^\dagger] = 0$
γ	$\gamma = \gamma^{-1} = \gamma^\dagger$ $[\gamma, L_{\pi(a)}] = 0$

Introducing a Family of Non-associative Geometries!

KO	$\pi(a)$	D	J
0	$a\mathbb{I}_2$	$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +\delta \\ -\delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$J = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & 0 \\ 0 & +1 \end{pmatrix} \circ (\cdot)^*$
1	$a\mathbb{I}_2$	$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +\delta \\ -\delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$J = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \circ (\cdot)^*$
2/3	$a\mathbb{I}_2$	$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +\delta \\ -\delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \circ (\cdot)^*$
4	$a\mathbb{I}_4$	$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +\Delta_+ \\ +\Delta_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$J = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \circ (\cdot)^*$
5	$a\mathbb{I}_4$	$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +\Delta_- \\ -\Delta_- & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$J = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \circ (\cdot)^*$
6	$a\mathbb{I}_4$	$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma\delta \\ \sigma\delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$J = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix} \circ (\cdot)^*$
7	$a\mathbb{I}_2$	$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +\delta \\ -\delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$J = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & 0 \\ 0 & +1 \end{pmatrix} \circ (\cdot)^*$

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} +\delta_1 & +\delta_2 \\ \mp\delta_2 & \pm\delta_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} +\mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

Introducing a Family of Non-associative Geometries!

Fluctuations

We have a total space Dirac operator of the form

$$D = i\gamma_c^\mu \nabla_\mu^S \otimes \mathbb{I}_F + \gamma_c^5 \otimes \gamma_F^\alpha \delta_\alpha$$

The inhomogeneous fluctuations are given by:

$$[D, \hat{\delta}] = \gamma_c^\mu (i\partial_\mu a^\alpha) \otimes \mathbb{I}_F \delta_\alpha + \gamma_c^5 (f_{\beta\alpha}^\kappa a^\alpha) \otimes \gamma_F^\beta \delta_\kappa$$

By inspection the full fluctuations are given by:

$$B = \gamma_c^\mu A_\mu^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{I}_F \delta_\alpha + \gamma_c^5 \phi_\kappa^\alpha \otimes \gamma_F^\kappa \delta_\alpha$$

Where to go from here (crazy ideas section).

Does the Pati-Salam NCG model hint towards a non-associativity Geometry?

The Gauge Fluctuations for the Pati-Salam model are of the form:

$$B = A_{(1)} + A_{(2)} + \epsilon' JA_{(1)}J^* \\ \text{with } A_{(2)} = \epsilon' JA_{(2)}J^*$$

The Gauge Fluctuations for a general alternative model are of the form:

$$B = [A_{(1)}, A_{(0)}] + [A_{(1)}, JA_{(0)}^*J^*] + \epsilon' J[A_{(1)}, A_{(0)}]J^* \\ \text{with } [A_{(1)}, JA_{(0)}^*J^*] = \epsilon' J[A_{(1)}, JA_{(0)}^*J^*]J^*$$